A journal of global avian demography and biogeography

Volume 12



Published annually by The Institute for Bird Populations

A journal of global avian demography and biogeography

Published by The Institute for Bird Populations

Editor: **DAVID G. AINLEY**, H.T. Harvey & Associates, 983 University Avenue, Bldg D, Los Gatos, CA 95032; 415-272-9499; dainley@penguinscience.com

Managing Editor: DAVID F. DESANTE, The Institute for Bird Populations, P.O. Box 1346, Point Reyes Station, CA 94956-1346; 415-663-2052; 415-663-9482 fax; ddesante@birdpop.org

Spanish Translation of Abstracts: BORJA MILA, Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, CSIC, José Gutiérrez Abascal 2, Madrid 28006, Spain; bmila@mncn.csic.es

Layout and Typesetting: PRISCILLA YOCOM, 5018 Albridal Way, San Ramon, CA 94582

THE INSTITUTE FOR BIRD POPULATIONS

A tax-exempt California nonprofit corporation established in 1989 and dedicated to fostering a global approach to research and the dissemination of information on changes in bird populations.

President: DAVID F. DESANTE, P.O. Box 1346, Point Reyes Station, CA 94956

Secretary-Treasurer: STEPHEN M. ALLAN, 962 Mistletoe Loop N, Keizer, OR 97303

Directors: CORDELL GREEN, IVAN SAMUELS, RODNEY B. SIEGEL, and DAN TOMPKINS

All persons interested in birds are invited to join The Institute for Bird Populations. Individual membership dues are \$35 per year. Institutional memberships are \$50 per year; student and senior memberships are \$15 per year. Please send check or money order (in U.S. dollars) payable to The Institute for Bird Populations, along with complete name, address, and email address to: The Institute for Bird Populations, P.O. Box 1346, Point Reyes Station, CA 94956-1346. All memberships and donations are fully tax-deductible as provided by law.

BIRD POPULATIONS (ISSN 1074-1755) is an entirely electronic journal published annually by The Institute for Bird Populations. Copyright 2013 by The Institute for Bird Populations. *BIRD POPULATIONS* can be accessed free of charge at www.birdpop.org. All material in this journal may be copied for the non-commercial purpose of educational or scientific advancement without the need to seek specific permission.



A journal of global avian biogeography

Volume 12

2013

Bird Populations 12:1-6 © The Institute for Bird Populations 2013

FIRST ACCOUNT OF A NESTING POPULATION OF MONK PARAKEETS, MYIOPSITTA MONACHUS, WITH NODULE-SHAPED BILL LESIONS IN KATEHAKI, ATHENS, GREECE¹

NICHOLAS P. KALODIMOS²

Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Management, Specialization in Ecology, Evolution, and Conservation Biology University of Hawaii at Manoa Honolulu, HI 96822

Abstract. A population of Monk Parakeets is herein documented for the first time in Greece. These birds were found nesting in Parko Scholis Chorofylakis Dimotiko, Katehaki, Athens, a location likely where the population founders' originated from an existing zoological garden. Characteristics of the population (21 birds) were its high site fidelity, earlier fledging date (no later than 12 June 2010), and higher than average number of fledglings per pair (1.9 per pair) than reported for populations elsewhere. Also of importance was the presence of bill lesions on adult individuals who were feeding fledglings. Disease in naturalized parrot populations is not well documented. Monk Parakeet incidence and pattern of disease is important to define their role as disease reservoirs in new areas and likewise, how they, themselves, are impacted by existing avian disease in host ecosystems.

 $K\!\!\!\!ey$ words: bird disease, Greek birds, Monk Parakeet, non-native birds, parrots, urban birds

PRIMER REGISTRO DE ANIDACION DE LA COTORRA ARGENTINA EN GRECIA, INCLUYENDO INDIVIDUOS CON LESIONES EN EL PICO

Resumen. Documentamos por primera vez la presencia de una población de cotorras argentinas (Myiopsitta monachus) en Grecia. Las aves fueron encontradas anidando en Parko Scholis Chorofylakis Dimotiko, Katehaki, Atenas, localidad de origen de los fundadores de la población desde un parque zoológico. La población (21 individuos) se caracteriza por una marcada filopatría, una fecha más temprana de abandono del nido (antes del 12 de junio 2010) y un mayor número de pollos

¹Received 17 May 2012; accepted 10 Dec 2012

²E-mail address: kalodimo@hawaii.edu

por pareja (1.9) que en otras poblaciones. También documentamos la presencia de lesiones en el pico de los individuos adultos que alimentaban a los volantones. Las enfermedades en poblaciones naturalizadas de loros no están bien documentadas. La incidencia de cotorras argentinas y enfermedades asociadas son importantes para determinar su papel como reservorios de patógenos en áreas nuevas, así como evaluar el impacto sobre ellas mismas de las enfermedades aviares en los ecosistemas colonizados.

Palabras clave: enfermedad aviar, aves griegas, cotorra argentina, aves exóticas,

INTRODUCTION

The Monk Parakeet (*Miyopsitta monachus* Boddaert 1783) is among the most geographically widespread naturalized parrot worldwide. Occurring in more than five European countries (Diederik and Erik 2009), no populations have heretofore been reported for Greece. However, in 2010 a small population was found by the author in Athens, Greece, and reported here are characteristics of this colony. Monk Parakeet Monk Parakeet

METHODS

The population of Monk Parakeets was located in Parko Scholis Chorofylakis Dimotiko, (park center, latitude 37°59'21.46"N, longitude 23°46'14.73"E; Google Inc. 2010), planted with mostly mature, 12 to 20 m Aleppo pine (Pinus halepensis) creating a 75% closed canopy (Fig. 1). The dimensions of the forested park were approximately 237 m along its Mesogeion Boulevard northern border, 190 m along its Trikalon Street western border, 130 m along an access road on the southern border, and 315 m along an unnamed paved road on the eastern boarder (Google Inc. 2010). Monk Parakeet observations were opportunistically collected by walking the park's formal pathways for half-days on June 8, 12, 19, 25 and July 12, 2010 usually between 11:00 - 16:00 or 14:00 - 18:00. A Canon GL-2 video camera with a 2.2x telephoto filter and a Canon digital still camera were used to document the observations and provide enhanced viewing opportunities. During the observation days, daytime temperatures were about 32° and evening temperatures about 24° C. There was no precipitation after 15 June. Wind speed was low, approximately 1 Beaufort (1-5 km/hr).

RESULTS

HABITAT.

The surrounding area was heavily urbanized with multi-story buildings. Trees surrounding the park included dozens of Mediterranean redbud tree (*Cercis siliquastrum*) planted along Mesogeion Boulevard, mature fruiting Eucalyptus (*Eucalyptis spp.*), and Ironwood trees (*Casuarina spp.*) to the south of the park. The Parko Scholis Chorofylakis Dimotiko contained a small zoological garden (Fig. 1) with well



FIGURE 1. Aleppo pine forest at the zoological garden within the Parko Scholis Chorofylakis Dimotiko. Monk Parakeet nest structures were present in these trees.

maintained multi-enclosure aviaries housing other parrots, including Monk Parakeets. One of the latter captives had bill lesions, identical to some of the wild parakeets, and game birds. The presence of Monk Parakeets in the aviary indicates that the zoological garden may be the source of the founders of the wild population. There was also a tub of water for a pony that was accessible to the wild Monk Parakeets at any time of the day. During my observations the wild Monk Parakeets did not land upon the zoological garden aviaries nor did they detectably communicate with the captive Monk Parakeets.

The city of Athens, Greece, experiences a Mediterranean climate with cool rainy winters and very hot, dry summers (Founda et al. 2004). Monk Parakeets tolerate temperate climates very well (Weathers and Caccamise 1975, Hyman and Pruett-Jones 1995). However, summertime temperatures in Athens can be extreme, commonly reaching 40° C or higher (Founda et al. 2004), with a highest temperature of 48° C on 10 July 1977 (Sarantopoulos 1977) and <10 mm of rain in each of the months of June - September (Mean monthly precipitation Athens, Greece 2009). Weathers and Caccamise (1975) showed that Monk Parakeets rapidly lost weight if deprived of water and concluded that Monk Parakeets are appropriately adapted to colonizing most habitats except for waterless deserts. The summertime climate in Athens is similar to a waterless desert and, therefore, Monk Parakeets at this location may be more dependent upon artificial sources of water, such as from the in-park zoological garden, potentially slowing their dispersal away from the Parko Scholis Chorofylakis Dimotiko. This may help to explain the clumped pattern of nest placement exclusively around the zoological garden. Monk Parakeet nest structures were no more than 200 m from any other nesting structure and from the zoological garden within the Parko Scholis Chorofylakis Dimotiko. This nest placement (dispersal pattern) was much denser than the dispersal distances of between 300 m and 1230 m in their native Argentinean habitat (Martin and Bucher 1993). Surrounding urban parks <1000 m away from the Parko Scholis Chorofylakis Dimotiko had similar vegetation structure (Aleppo Pine) and

abundant natural food (pine seeds, figs, weed seeds), but they did not have a water source. The presence of water at the zoological garden may well contribute to the concentration of nests and persistence of population members at the zoological garden in the Parko Scholis Chorofylakis Dimotiko. However, in Chicago, Illinois, U.S.A., where rainfall is more evenly distributed throughout the year, naturalized Monk Parakeets also build nest structures in an aggregated distribution (Hyman and Pruett-Jones 1995). Thus, other factors may be responsible for the low dispersal tendencies, namely, release site fidelity.

Monk Parakeets, compared to other parrot species, do not normally disperse far from their natal locations (Martin and Bucher 1993). Several naturalized populations of parrots in the Hawaiian Islands exhibit remarkable fidelity to the location from which they were released (Author pers. obs., Runde and Pitt 2008), thus the strong trend of the Katehaki Monk Parakeet population to nest very close to one another may be based upon this tendency. The choice to cluster nest structures around the in-park zoological garden may also be related to the lower tree density in that portion of the park. This tree spacing allows nest-occupying parakeets better visibility and predator escape.

PARAKEET ACTIVITY.

Monk Parakeet activities during the observation periods included tree and ground foraging, feeding fledglings and roosting in park trees. There was a marked absence of nest structure maintenance; only one pair, on one occasion, flew to a nest carrying nest material. Monk Parakeets foraged on a diverse array of plants: they were seen frequently foraging on the seeds of open Aleppo pine cones; 12 were seen foraging on mature, unripe fig fruit from a wild Capri fig tree (Ficus carica); and two fed upon dry Mediterranean red-bud tree legumes. Monk Parakeets also frequently foraged on weed seeds in the park under pine trees in groups of four to eight birds. Feral Rock Pigeon (Columba livia) also ground-foraged in these same locations.

POPULATION AND NESTING.

Fledgling Monk Parakeets were identifiable due to their shorter central retricies, begging

behaviors, and white eye-ring (Hyman and Pruett-Jones 1995). While perched in an ironwood tree, a single adult fed three fledglings, another adult parakeet in a pine tree fed two fledglings, another pair fed one fledgling at the nest structure in a pine tree as another fledgling looked out from the chamber entrance and two adults with nodule-shaped lesions at the base of the bill (Fig. 2) fed two fledglings in a pine tree. The nodule-shaped lesions present on the two parakeets were pink and red colored, smooth, and clustered around the base of the bill at the gape (Fig. 2). The number of fledglings per pair out of four pairs in this population was above the average number of 1.5 fledglings per pair reported from wild-reproducing Monk Parakeets in Argentina (Navarro et al. 1992). In Chicago, the first date of fledging was on 2 July (Hyman and Pruett-Jones 1995). In this Athenian population, flock-flying fledglings were present from 12 June and possibly earlier, since flighted fledglings were present at the start of the observation period.

I estimated a minimum of 21 Monk Parakeets in the population by counting all birds seen or heard at one time so as to avoid duplicate counts. It was likely that there were more than 21 parakeets in the entire population. There were nine different nest structures, each in a different Aleppo pine. One or two adult parakeets flew to each nest structure. All nest structures had one entrance, though one nesting structure had two. Among other populations 1-2 parakeets at each nest entrance has been reported (see Martella 1985, Navarro et al. 1992, Hyman and Pruett-Jones 1995) but in contrast the Parko Scholis Chorofylakis Dimotiko structures had low number of nest chambers. Within a group of 12 active nests, in Spain, there were nest structures with two, three, and up to eight chambers (entrances) (Nores 2009) and in Chicago from one to seven chambers with usually an average of 1.8 active chambers per nesting structure (Hyman and Pruett-Jones 1995). Explanations for the smaller nest structure sizes in the Parko Scholis Chorofylakis Dimotiko may be due to the smaller size or growth complexity of park Aleppo pine host trees. Monk Parakeets in Barcelona, Spain, strongly favored the construction of nesting structures in the tallest *Phoenix* spp. palms even when there were Aleppo pines present (Sol et al. 1997). It



FIGURE 2. A wild adult Monk Parakeet, photographed in Athens, showing nodule-like pink lesions at the base of the bill.

may be possible that Aleppo pines in this park are not as suitable for construction of large, multi-chambered nest structures based upon this preference. Alternatively, Monk Parakeets may only add additional chambers to a nesting structure after the population becomes larger, when suitable nesting trees become less available (Author speculation).

INDICATIONS OF DISEASE.

One pair feeding fledglings had multipleclustered swollen pink and red-colored noduleshaped lesions at the base of their bills indicating chronic illness. These symptoms are often a sign of avian pox (Pawar et al. 2010). Their close proximity to a wide variety of captive domesticated and wild-caught bird species in the zoological garden aviaries and/or close contact with areas where Rock Pigeons ground-forage might have increased avian pox exposure and/or facilitated inter-species transmission (Pawar et al. 2010). Monk Parakeets also come in close contact with feral Rock Pigeons in other urban areas (Nores 2009), adding broader relevance to this finding. Species present in the zoological garden aviaries included but were not limited to: Indian Peafowl (*Pavo cristatus*), domestic chickens (*Gallus gallus domesticus*), Rock Partridge (*Alectoris graeca*), Burrowing Parrot (*Cyanoliseus patagonus*), Rose-ringed Parakeet (*Psittacula krameri manillensis/ borealis*), Cockatiel (*Nymphicus hollandicus*); Monk Parakeet, and Peach-faced Lovebird (*Agapornis roseicollis*). One Monk Parakeet in the aviary exhibited similar nodule-shaped lesions at the base of the bill identical to what wild individuals had. Since only Monk Parakeets (caged and wild) were seen to have such bill lesions out of all the other captive bird species it is conceivable that the ailment might be specific to parrots.

As well, psitticine beak and feather disease (PBFD) is important to consider when encountering parrots that have skin, bill or feather abnormalities; skin abnormalities around the bill can be the first signs of PBFD (Ortiz-Catredral et al. 2010). PBFD is endemic to parrots and has been found in approximately 40 captive and wild parrot species (Ortiz-Catredral et al. 2010). Wild Australasian and African species are most commonly infected but the traffic in wild - caught parrots in the pet bird trade have led to the proliferation of the disease in parrot species from most geographic regions (Ortiz-Catredral et. al 2010). The virus causes weight loss, keratin structure defects (bill, feathers) (Kock et al. 2010) and the destruction of lymph material resulting in immune system suppression (Ritchie et al. 2003). The cause of death is usually by secondary infection (Ritchie et al. 2003), though wild birds would probably succumb to predators or starvation first. That said, the wild and captive Monk Parakeets with the bill lesions were in perfect plumage, had no abnormalities to the bill itself, and appeared to be at normal weight and activity levels. Nonetheless, the presence of pox-like nodules/lesions in an adult reproducing pair allude to their ability to potentially serve as a reservoir for disease in this locality, though further investigation is required to confirm the disease agent causing the nodules/lesions.

DISCUSSION

Potential water and food resources may limit Katehaki Athens Monk Parakeet geographic distribution to urban parks as in Spain (Sol et al. 1997). Nonetheless, they are successfully reproducing and if the trend continues, their numbers will likely increase in the future. This population of Monk Parakeets, a significant new record for Greece, provides a unique opportunity to study the population growth and pattern of range expansion of a species from its release point. Additionally, this study is, to the author's knowledge, one of the first documenting potential chronic disease in reproducing, naturalized Monk Parakeets.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to Elina Tsavdari for introducing me to Katehaki, Athens.

REFERENCES

- DIEERI, S., AND M. ERIK. 2009. Establishment success of invasive Ring-necked and Monk Parakeets in Europe. Journal of Biogeography 36:2264-2278.
- FOUNDA, D., K.H. PAPADOPOULOS, M. PETRAKIS, C. GIANNAKOPOULOS, AND P. GOOD. 2004. Analysis of mean, maximum and minimum temperature in Athens from 1897 to 2001 with emphasis on the last decade trends, warm events, and cold events. Global Planet Change. 44:27-38.
- GOOGLE INC. 2010. Google Earth (Version 6.0.3.2197) [Software]
- HYMAN, J., AND S. PRUETT-JONES. 1995. Natural history of the Monk Parakeet in the Hyde Park, Chicago. Wilson Bulletin 107:510-517.
- KOCK, R.A., M.H. WOODFORD, AND P.B. ROSSITER. 2010. Disease risks associated with the translocation of wildlife. Review of Science and Technology 29:329-350
- MARTELLA, M.B. 1985. Observaciones sobre el comportamiento de la cotorra *Myiopsitta monachus* con especial enfasis en la comunicacion sonora. PhD dissertation, Universidad Nacional of Córdoba University, Cordoba, Argentina.
- MARTIN, L.F., AND E.H. BUCHER. 1993. Natal dispersion and first breeding age in Monk parakeets. Auk 110:932.
- MEAN MONTHLY PRECIPITATION ATHENS, GREECE. 2009. World weather and climate information. [online] (2010-2011) Available at: http://www.weatherand-climate.com/average-monthly-precipitation-Rainfall,Athens,Greece [Accessed 10 October 2011].
- NAVARRO, J.L., M.B. MARTELLA, AND E.H. BUCHER. 1992. Breeding season and productivity of Monk Parakeets in Cordoba, Argentina. Wilson Bulletin 104:413-424.

- NORES, M. 2009. Use of active Monk Parakeet nests by Common Pigeons and response by the host. Wilson Bulletin 121:812-815.
- ORTIZ-CATEDRAL, L., B. KURENBACH, M. MASSARO, K. MCINNES, D.H. BRUNTON, M.E. HAUBER, D.P. MARTIN, AND A. VARSANI. 2010. A new isolate of beak and feather disease virus from endemic wild redfronted parakeets (*Cyanoramphus novaezelandiae*) in New Zealand. Archives of Virology 155:613–620.
- PAWAR, R.M., S.S. BHUSHAN, A. POORNACHANDAR, U. LAKSHMIKANTAN, AND S. SHIVAJI. 2011. Avian pox infection in different wild birds in India. European Journal of Wildlife Research 57:785-793.
- RITCHIE, P.A., I.L. ANDERSON, AND D.M. LAMBERT. 2003. Evidence for specificity of psittacine beak and feather disease viruses among avian hosts. Virology 306:109-115.

- RUNDE, D.E., AND W.C. PITT. 2008. Maui's Mitred parakeets, *Aratinga mitrata*. 'Elepaio 68: 1-4 (Part 1).
- SARANTOPOULOS, A.D. 1977. World weather/climate extremes archive. Europe: highest temperature. World Meteorological Organization via Arizona State University. [online] Available at: http://wmo.asu.edu/europe-highest-temperature [Accessed 10 October 2011].
- SOL, D., D.M. SANTOS, E. FERIA, AND J. CLAVELL. 1997. Habitat selection by the Monk Parakeet during colonization of a new area in Spain. Condor 99:39-46.
- WEATHERS, W.W., AND D.F. CACCAMISE. 1975. Temperature regulation and water requirements of the Monk Parakeet, *Myiopsitta monachus*. Oecologia 18:329-342.

HABITAT LOSS OF CRITICALLY ENDANGERED VULTURES IN NAMERI NATIONAL PARK-ASSAM¹

NIRANJAN DAS²

North-East Center for Social Science Research Murhateteli, Tezpur-784001 District-Sonitpur (Assam)

Abstract. Assam, comprising the eastern Himalayas, is one of the Mega bio-diversity hotspots of the world. It forms part of two bird areas, viz. eastern Himalaya and Assam plains, with many endemic species. Nameri National Park is a part of the North Bank Landscape (NBL) of Brahmaputra River bordering Assam and Arunachal Pradesh as established by the World Wildlife Fund; it also is a part of the Eastern Himalayan Bio-diversity Hotspot and habitat of resident and migratory bird. There are 374 avian species (resident and migratory) recorded in Nameri National Park. This includes, as reported in the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List, four Critically Endangered vultures: White-rumped Vulture (*Gyps bengalensis*), Slender-billed Vulture (*Gyps tenuirostris*), Red-headed Vulture (*Sarcogyps calvus*) and Long-billed Vulture (*Gyps indicus*). The paper highlighted the present status of birds of prey in Nameri National Park and the adjoining areas.

Key words: Critically endangered vultures, diclofenac, Himalyas

PERDIDA DE HABITAT DE BUITRES CRITICAMENTE AMENAZADOS EN EL PARQUE NACIONAL NAMERI, ASSAM, INDIA

Resumen. Assam, junto los Himalayas orientales, es uno de los mega hotspots de biodiversidad del mundo. Forma parte de dos áreas avifaunísticas, el Himalaya oriental y las llanuras de Assam, con numerosas especies endémicas. El Parque Nacional Nameri forma parte del Paisaje de la Rivera Norte (North Bank Landscape, NBL) del río Brahmaputra que bordea Assam y Arunachal Pradesh tal y como determinó el World Wildlife Fund. También forma parte del Hotspot de Biodiversidad del Himalaya Oriental y contiene hábitats para aves residentes y migratorias. Hay 374 especies de aves (residentes y migratorias) registradas en el Parque Nacional Nameri. La lista incluye, tal y como se reporta en la Lista Roja de la UICN, cuatro especies de buitre críticamente amenazadas: buitre dorsiblanco bengalí (*Gyps bengalensis*), buitre picofino (*Gyps tenuirostris*), buitre cabecirrojo (*Sarcogyps calvus*), y buitre piquilargo (*Gyps indicus*). El artículo presenta el estado actual de las aves de presa en el Parque Nacional Nameri y áreas aledañas.

Palabras clave: India, buitres en peligro crítico, diclofenaco, Himalayas

INTRODUCTION

Nameri National Park (Latitude 26°50′ / to 27°03′ / N and Longitude 92°39′ to 92°59′ E) covers an area of 200 km² in the foothills of the eastern Himalayas in Assam. It is contiguous

with Pakhui Wildlife Sanctuary in Arunachal Pradesh to the north, and together they exceed 1,000 km² with elevations ranging from 79 to over 1,500 meters. The park is bounded by the Bor-Dikorai River and Sijussa Camp in the east.

²E-mail address: das_niranjan2002@yahoo.com, niranjannameri@gmail.com

¹Received 28 June 2012; accepted 6 December 2012

Its western border aligns with the Jia-Bhoreli River and is adjacent to Balipara Reserve Forest, while its northern border is contiguous with Pakhui Wildlife Sanctuary of Arunachal Pradesh. The southern border is marked by the confluence of Jia-Bhoreli and Bor-Dikorai rivers. The park is criss-crossed by the tributaries of Jia-Bhoreli riverincluding Diji, Dinai, Doigurung, Nameri, Dikorai, Khari.

The terrain is undulating, with lower areas at elevation between 80-100 m along the Jia-Bhoreli and its tributaries, and with higher areas at 200-225 m in the central and northern parts of the park. Soils are characterized by sandy or sandy loam alluvial deposits. Numerous small rivers and perennial streams originating in Arunachal Pradesh run through the park and feed into the Jia-Bhorelli River. Many rivers shift their course during the rainy season and form dry riverbeds during the winter. Forest and woodland cover the majority of the park (94%, 188 km²). Grasslands are found along the banks of the Jia-Bhoreli River and its tributaries and cover an area of 10 km² (5%). The remaining 2 km² (1%) is formed by various river beds. Nameri is covered by Tropical Evergreen, Semi-Evergreen, Moist Deciduous forests with cane and bamboo brakes and narrow strips of open grassland along rivers. Grasslands comprise <10% of the total area of the park, while the Semi-Evergreen and Moist Deciduous species dominate the area. The vegetation of the park is a mosaic of four major forest types (Champion and Seth 1968): (1) Eastern Alluvial Secondary Semi-Evergreen Forest (2) Low Alluvial Savannah Woodland (3) Eastern Dillenia Swamp Forest and (4) Wet Bamboo Forest (usually found along streams or on badly drained hollows), with areas of cane brakes formed by Calamus tenuis. The subtropical monsoon climate of the region is characterised by heavy rainfall, averaging of 3,500 mm per annum. The predominance of the southwest monsoon causes precipitation to be highly seasonal (Barthakur 1986). Most of the rain falls between May and September, i.e. the summer (hot) season. Winters (October to April) are usually cool and dry, although rains are not uncommon. The average temperature in the area varies from a low of 5°C in winter to a high of 37°C in summer. The relative humidity varies between 65 and 90% or more. Parts of the area were declared as Naduar

Reserve Forest (Present East Buffer) in 1876 and Nameri Wildlife Sanctuary in 1985. The Nameri National Park was formed in1998.

Pollution, drainage, habitat destruction, hunting and collection of eggs and nestlings are some of the causes that threaten the birds with extinction in the park and vicinity (Datta et al. 1998). Another major cause is poisoning by diclofenac, which is a non-steroidal antiinflammatory veterinary drug (NSAID) that causes kidney failure in birds upon eating the carcasses of treated cattle. In India, 99% of vulture species population decreases is thought to be due to poisoning by diclofenac. These factors also play an important role in decreasing the number of the park's avian species, which were abundant in earlier decades.

There are 374 species of resident and migratory birds that so far have been identified in Nameri National Park (Das 2010).

Many of the species are resident, nonmigratory owing to its cover by primary forest having multi-season fruit bearing trees (Dymond 1998). Included are four critically endangered vultures as described below (see also Table 1 and Figure 1).

WHITE-RUMPED VULTURE (GYPS BENGALENSIS)

It is an Old World vulture closely related to the Eurasian Griffon Vulture (*Gyps fulvus*). At one time it was believed to be closer to the White-backed Vulture of Africa and was known as the Oriental White-backed Vulture.

The White-rumped Vulture is a typical, medium-sized vulture, with an unfeathered head and neck, very broad wings, and short tail feathers. It is much smaller than the Eurasian Griffon. It has a white neck ruff. The adult's whitish back, rump, and underwing coverts contrast with the otherwise dark plumage. The body is black and the secondaries are silvery grey. The head is tinged in pink and bill is silvery with dark ceres. The nostril openings are slit-like. In flight, the adults show a dark leading edge of the wing and has a white wing-lining on the underside. The undertail coverts are black. This is the smallest of the *Gyps* vultures, but is still a very large bird. It weighs 3.5-7.5 kg (7.7-16.5 lbs), measures 75–93 cm (30–37 in) in length, and has a wingspan of 1.92-2.6 m (6.3-8.5 ft).

White-rumped Vultures always nest on large

Species	IUCN Status	Habitat	Notes
White-rumped Vulture	Critically Endangered (CR)	S, G, D, A	This species was recorded seven times, usually involving 2–3 birds, mostly seen soaring. When 10 wild elephants (<i>Elephas</i> <i>maximus</i>) died in the area due to poisoning during July–August 2001, no vultures were seen on the carcasses.
Long-billed Vulture	Critically Endangered (CR)	S, G, D, A	Between 2001 to 2002, this species was record- ed five times, usually involving 2–3 birds, mostly seen soaring over Dharikati village.
Slender-billed Vulture	Critically Endangered (CR)	S, G, D, A	It was not observed during 1996, but small flocks of up to six birds were seen 4–5 times a year during 1997–2001, mainly between November and March. None has been seen since 2001.
Red-headed Vulture	Critically Endangered (CR)	S, G, D, A	Singles were seen soaring at Potasali on 16 April 2002 and feeding on a carcass along the Khari River on 10th July in the same year.

TABLE 1. Summary of information about vultures in Nameri National Park.

S = Secondary forest (with an open canopy regenerating naturally after human and/or natural disturbance)

G = Grasslands (various serial stages of riverine vegetation including short grass on sandy islets through to areas being colonised by trees)

D = Disturbed areas (cultivation, settlements etc. in the fringe areas of the park)

A= Aerial



FIGURE 1. The four critically endangered vultures in Nameri National Park.

trees near habitations even when there are seemingly appropriate cliffs in the vicinity. The preferred nesting trees are Bhelu (Tetrameles nudiflora), Satiana (Alstonia scholaris), Bon jalakia (Cryptocarya amygdalina), Urium (Bischofia javanica), Bola (Morus laevigata), Madar (Erythrina suberosa) (Hendriks, 1998). The main nesting period is November to March with eggs being laid mainly in January. Nests are usually in clusters and isolated nests tend to be those of younger birds. Solitary nests are never used regularly and are sometimes taken over by the Red-headed Vulture (Sarcogyps calvus) and large owls such as Bubo coromandus. Nests are nearly 1 m in diameter and 0.3 m in thickness. Prior to laying an egg, the nest is lined with green leaves. The single egg is white with a tinge of bluish-green. Females are reported to destroy the nest on loss of an egg. They are usually silent but make hissing and roaring sounds at the nest or when jostling for food

This species was very common when surveys were conducted during 1995-2000, especially in the Gangetic plains of India and was often seen nesting on the avenue trees within large cities in the region. This species, as well as the Indian Vulture (*Gyps indicus*) and Slender-billed Vulture (*Gyps tenuirostris*) have suffered a 99% population decrease in India and nearby countries since the early 1990s. The decline has been widely attributed to poisoning by diclofenac, as discussed above

The Indian White-rumped Vulture is mostly resident. Like other vultures it is a scavenger, feeding mostly on carcasses of dead animals (Penhallurick 2001). In Nameri National Park between 1996 and 1998, this species was recorded seven times, usually involving 2–3 birds. When ten wild elephants died in the area due to poisoning during July–August 2001, no vultures were seen on the carcasses (Baruah, 2004). Their absence is noteworthy and presumably related to the catastrophic decline of *Gyps* vultures in the Indian subcontinent.

LONG-BILLED VULTURE (GYPS INDICUS)

It is an Old World vulture, closely related to the Griffon Vulture. It breeds mainly on hilly crags in central and peninsular India. The birds in the northern part of its range once considered a subspecies are now considered to be distinct, the Slender-billed Vulture. These were lumped together under the name Long-billed Vulture. Two races remain: *Gyps indicus indicus* found in northern India and further east, and *Gyps indicus tenuirostris* found in the plains of the Indus and Ganges rivers. Two individuals of the nominal race were seen during 2007 in the Bogijuli area of the park near high forest (Singh 1991).

This species breeds mainly on cliffs, but is known to use trees in Rajasthan. Like other vultures it is a scavenger, feeding mostly from carcasses of dead animals, which it finds by soaring over savannah and around human habitation. They often move in flocks.

The Long-billed Vulture is a typical vulture, with a bald head, very broad wings and short tail feathers. It has suffered a 99% population decrease in Pakistan and India; between 2000-2007 annual decline rates of this species and the Slender-billed Vulture averaged >16%. The cause of this has been identified as poisoning by the veterinary drug diclofenac.

RED-HEADED VULTURE (SARCOGYPS CALVUS)

Red-headed Vulture, also known as the Asian King Vulture, Indian Black Vulture or Pondicherry Vulture, is an old world vulture once found throughout South Asia. The range has become localized primarily to Nepal and northern, north-eastern India. The widespread use and subsequent poisoning by the drug diclofenac, along with carprofen, flunixin, ibuprofen and phenylbutazone, has caused its population to collapse. The population of this species has essentially halved every other year since the late 1990s. What once was a plentiful species numbering in the hundreds of thousands has come dangerously close to extinction in less than two decades. Aa few individuals exist within the park.

It is a medium-sized vulture, 76 - 86 cm (30 to 34 in) in length, weighing 3.5–6.3 kg (7.7–14 lb) and having a wingspan of 1.99–2.6 m (6.5–8.5 ft). The adult has a prominent deep red-to-orange naked head, which is paler among juveniles. It has a black body with pale grey band at the base of flight feathers.

SLENDER-BILLED VULTURE (GYPS TENUIROSTRIS)

The Slender-billed Vulture is a recently recognized species of old world vulture. It was

once included with its relative, the Indian Vulture, under the name of 'Long-billed Vulture'. However, these two species have nonoverlapping distributions and can be immediately told apart by trained observers, even at considerable distances. The Indian Vulture is found only to the south of the Ganges and breeds on cliffs, while the Slender-billed Vulture is found along the Sub-Himalayan regions and into Southeast Asia. It nests in trees.

This vulture is mostly grey with a pale rump and grey under-tail coverts. The thighs have whitish down. It is rare, with most records being of soaring birds. Small flocks of up to six birds were seen 4–5 times a year during 1997–2001 near Potasali, 14th mile area of the park, mainly between November and March. None has been seen since 2001, again presumably linked to the general decline of *Gyps* vultures (Talukdar and Das 1997).

The population of this species and the Indian Vulture has declined by 97% and in India annual decline rates for both species averaged over 16% between 2000-2007. Wild populations remain in northern and eastern India through southern Nepal and Bangladesh, with a small population in Burma. The Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB) estimated the Slender-billed Vulture to number about 1,000 birds in 2009, and predicts total extinction in the wild within the next decade.

Its decline is largely due to the use of tdiclofenac in working farm animals, especially in India.

CONCLUSION

Veterinary use of the nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug diclofenac is a major cause of the catastrophic collapse of *Gyps* vulture populations in the Indian subcontinent. Three species of vultures endemic to South Asia, which together used to number in the tens of millions, are now at high risk of extinction and are listed as critically endangered. Populations of Oriental white-backed (*Gyps bengalensis*), long-billed (*Gyps indicus*) and slender-billed vultures (*Gyps tenuirostris*) have declined by more than 95% since the early 1990s, and continue to decline at an annual rate of 22-48%.

Diclofenac is a widely available drug in the Indian subcontinent, where it is used for the symptomatic treatment and management of inflammation, fever, and/or pain associated with disease or injury in domestic livestock. Vultures are exposed to the drug when they consume carcasses of cattle that were treated with diclofenac shortly before death. Following experimental exposure to diclofenac or diclofenac-contaminated tissues, Gyps vultures die within days from kidney failure with clinical signs of extensive visceral gout (formation of uric acid crystals within tissue). These clinical signs and diclofenac residues in vulture tissues have been found in carcasses of wild *Gyps* vultures from across India, Pakistan, and Nepal, and the proportion of vulture carcasses with signs of diclofenac poisoning is consistent with this being the main, and possibly the only, cause of the vulture decline in Nameri National Park and adjoining areas of Assam.

REFERENCES

- BARTHAKUR, M. 1986. Weather and climate of Northeast India. North-Eastern Geographer 18:31-32.
- DATTA, A., P. SINGH, R. M. ATHREYA AND S. KARTHI-KEYAN. 1998. Birds of Pakhui Wildlife Sanctuary in western Arunachal Pradesh, North-East India. Newsletter for Birdwatchers 38:39-43.
- DYMOND, N. 1998. List of Birds seen in Nameri National Park: 25–27 November 1998. Unpublished Trip Report, Nameri National Park.
- DAS, N. 2010. The Birds of Nameri National Park, Assam-India: An Annotated Checklist. Bird Populations 10:37-55.
- HENDRIKS, H. 1998. Birds seen in North-Eastern India. Unpublished Trip Report, Nameri National Park.
- PENHALLURICK, J. 2001. Birds seen in Assam during April 2001. Unpublished field notes.
- SING, P. 1991. Avian and mammalian evidences in Pakhui Wildlife Sanctuary in East Kameng District, Arunachal Pradesh. Arunachal Forest News 9:1–10.
- TALUKDAR, B. K. AND R. K. DAS. 1997. Record of birds of prey in Nameri Wildlife Sanctuary, Assam. Newsletter for Birdwatchers 37: 50–51

WATERBIRD ASSEMBLAGE IN RURAL PONDS OF SAMAKHIALI REGION, KUTCH DISTRICT, GUJARAT, INDIA¹

A. MOHAMED SAMSOOR ALI², S. RAMESH KUMAR AND P. R. ARUN

Division of Environmental Impact Assessment Sálim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History (SACON), Anaikatty, Coimbatore- 641108, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract. Waterbird species richness was investigated in five rural ponds of Samakhiali region, Kutch District, Gujarat. During September 2011 - May 2012, a total of 53 species of waterbirds from 14 families were recorded. Out of these, 30 were residents and 23 were winter visitors. Among the bird species recorded, Darter (*Anhinga melanogaster*), Painted Stork (*Mycteria leucocephala*), Oriental White Ibis (*Threskiornis melanocephalus*), Black-tailed Godwit (*Limosa limosa*) and Eurasian Curlew (*Numenius arquata*) are listed as Near Threatened by IUCN, indicating the importance of these ponds. It is expected that this study would provide a preliminary database for the waterbirds of this area useful for further research and assessment.

Key words: India, Gujarat, near threatened waterbird species, rural ponds

COMUNIDAD DE AVES ACUATICAS EN LAGUNAS RURALES DE LA REGION DE SAMAKHIALI, DISTRITO KUTCH, GUJARAT, INDIA *Resumen.* La diversidad de especies de aves acuáticas fue investigada en cinco lagunas rurales de la región Samakhiali, Distrito Kutch, Gujarat. Entre septiembre de 2011 y mayo de 2012, fueron registradas un total de 53 especies de aves acuáticas de 14 familias. De éstas, 30 fueron residentesy 23 visitantes invernales. Entre las especies registradas se encuentran la anhinga común (*Anhinga melanogaster*), tántalo indio (*Mycteria leucocephala*),

ibis oriental (*Threskiornis melanocephalus*), aguja colinegra (*Limosa limosa*) and zarapito real (*Numenius arquata*), todas listadas como amenazadas por la UICN, lo que indica la importancia de estas lagunas. Esperamos que este estudio aporte una base de datos preliminar sobre las aves acuáticas de esta área que sea útil para investigación y manejo en el futuro.

Palabras clave: India, Gujarat, aves acuáticas amenazadas, lagunas rurales

INTRODUCTION

Human civilizations have typically been founded around water bodies, mainly on the banks of rivers and lakes, with ponds often constructed nearby. Depending on size, artificial impoundments often eventually support various flora and fauna including birds. Birds are one of the most important indicators of the health of ecosystems such as rural ponds, because they respond to both secondary and primary factors and can be monitored relatively easily (Koskimies 1989). Also, because of their mobility, birds react very rapidly to changes in their habitats (Louette et al. 1995).

Wetlands are among the world's most productive and biologically diverse ecosystems (Gibbs 1993). Wetlands and waterbirds are inseparable, and most wetlands support a rich

¹Received 28 June 2012; accepted 11 February 2013

²Corresponding author, e-mail address: amsamsoor@yahoo.co.in

array of waterbird communities representing the breadth of trophic levels (Grimmett and Inskipp 2007). Activities of waterbirds are often considered an indicator of wetland ecosystem quality and those species at or near the top of the foodweb can reflect changes originating among a range of ecosystem components (Custer and Osborne 1977). Various ponds and other wetlands in any area serve as a balancing reservoir for sustaining native flora and fauna (Grimmett and Inskipp 2007, Surana et al. 2007). The present work attempt a cataloguing of waterbirds that inhabit rural ponds of Samakhiali region of Bhachau Taluk, Kutch District, Gujarat, India (Fig. 1). Systematic avian surveys are rare in this area.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Observations were made at five rural ponds, and are located as follows (Fig. 1): 'Samakhiali Pond' at latitude 23°18' 25″N and longitude 70°30' 23″E, covering about 1.51 km²; 'Ambliala Pond' at 23°15' 01″N and 70°29' 32″E, covering 1.83 km²; 'Laliana Pond' at 23°16' 09″N and 70°32'32″E, covering 1.92 km²; 'Jangi Pond 1' at 23°13'32"N and 70°34' 03"E, covering 0.32 km²; and 'Jangi Pond 2' at 23°13' 27"N and 70°33'55"E, covering 1.56 km². These ponds are surrounded by human habitations and agricultural fields. Local people use these ponds for their domestic and livelihood needs.

The wetlands were visited twice monthly, September 2011 - May 2012, and waterbirds were counted directly (Bibby et al. 2000). Morning visits occurred between 06:00 and 08:00hrs and those in evening between 16:00 and 18:00hrs (Namgail et al. 2009). For watching, counting and species identification, observations were aided by binoculars. Photography was done using a digital camera with zoom lenses. The birds were identified by their characteristic features in accordance with standard identification manuals and field guides, e.g. Ali and Ripley (1983), Kazmierczak (2000) and Grimmett et al. (2001). The checklist of species was prepared following the nomenclature of Manakadan and Pittie (2001; Table 1)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 53 species of waterbirds belonging to



FIGURE 1. Locations of ponds studied, Gujarat, India.

Scientific N	ame	Common Name	Status ^a
FAMILY PC	DDICIPEDIDAE		
	aptus ruficollis (Pallas, 1764)	Little Grebe	Resident
0	IALACROCORACIDAE		
	ocorax niger (Vieillot, 1817)	Little Cormorant	Resident
	ocorax fuscicollis (Stephens, 1826)	Indian Shag	Winter Visitor
	ocorax carbo (Linnaeus, 1758)	Great Cormorant	Winter Visitor
	NHINGIDAE		
	a melanogaster (Pennant, 1769)	Darter	Resident
FAMILY AF	-		
	garzetta (Linnaeus, 1766)	Little Egret	Resident
0	vinerea (Linnaeus, 1758)	Grey Heron	Resident
	purpurea (Linnaeus, 1766)	Purple Heron	Resident
	odius albus (Linnaeus, 1758)	Large Egret	Resident
	wyx intermedia (Wagler, 1829)	Median Egret	Resident
	us ibis (Linnaeus, 1758)	Cattle Egret	Resident
	<i>a grayii</i> (Sykes, 1832)	Indian Pond Heron	Resident
	les striatus (Linnaeus, 1758)	Little Green Heron	Resident
	prax nycticorax (Linnaeus, 1758)	Black-crowned Night Heron	Resident
	CONIIDAE	Diack-crowned Inight Heron	Resident
		Painted Stork	Resident
	<i>ia leucocephala</i> (Pennant, 1769) <i>mus oscitans</i> (Boddaert, 1783)	Asian Openbill-Stork	Winter Visitor
		Asian Openbili-Stork	willer visitor
	IRESKIORNITHIDAE	Classy This	Desident
0	s falcinellus (Linnaeus, 1766)	Glossy Ibis	Resident
	ornis melanocephalus (Latham, 1790)	Oriental White Ibis	Resident
	pis papillosa (Temminck, 1824)	Black Ibis	Resident
	<i>i leucorodia</i> (Linnaeus, 1758) HOENICOPTERIDAE	Eurasian Spoonbill	Resident
21 Phoenic	copterus ruber (Linnaeus, 1758)	Greater Flamingo	Winter Visitor
AMILY AN	NATIDAE		
2 Dendro	cygna javanica (Horsfield, 1821)	Lesser Whistling Duck	Resident
3 Sarkidi	o <i>rnis melanotos</i> (Pennant, 1769)	Comb Duck	Resident
4 Anas p	pecilorhyncha (J.R. Forester, 1781)	Spot-billed Duck	Resident
5 Anas cl	ypeata (Linnaeus, 1758)	Northern Shoveller	Winter Visitor
	uerquedula (Linnaeus, 1758)	Gargany	Winter Visitor
	recca (Linnaeus, 1758)	Common Teal	Winter Visitor
AMILY GF			
8 Grus vi	rgo (Linnaeus, 1758)	Demoiselle Crane	Winter Visitor
AMILY RA	0		
9 Amaur	ornis phoenicurus (Pennant, 1769)	White-breasted Waterhen	Resident
	rio porphyrio (Linnaeus, 1758)	Purple Moorhen	Resident
	la chloropus (Linnaeus, 1758)	Common Moorhen	Resident
	atra (Linnaeus, 1758)	Common Coot	Resident
	IARADRIIDAE		
	rius dubius (Scopoli, 1786)	Little Ringed Plover	Resident
	rius alexandrines (Linnaeus, 1758)	Kentish Plover	Resident
	us malabaricus (Boddaert, 1783)	Yellow-wattled Lapwing	Resident
	indicus (Boddaert, 1783)	Red-wattled Lapwing	Resident
	CURVIROSTRIDAE	hea mattica Eupming	neoraem
	topus himantopus (Linnaeus, 1758)	Black-winged Stilt	Resident
	COLOPACIDAE	Duck winged blit	monutin
	limosa (Linnaeus, 1758)	Black-tailed Godwit	Winter Visitor
5 Linosu	uniosa (Lititacus, 1730)	Diack-taneu GUUWII	vvinter visitor

TABLE 1. Checklist of waterbirds in rural ponds of Samakhiali region, Kutch District, Gujarat, during September 2011 to May 2012.

TABLE 1. Continued	•
--------------------	---

Scientific Name	Common Name	Status
39 Numenaus arquata (Linnaeus, 1758)	Eurasian Curlew	Winter Visitor
40 Tringa erythropus (Pallas, 1764)	Spotted Redshank	Winter Visitor
41 Tringa totanus (Linnaeus, 1758)	Common Redshank	Winter Visitor
42 Tringa stagnatilis (Bechstein, 1803)	Marsh Sandpiper	Winter Visitor
43 Tringa ochropus (Linnaeus, 1758)	Green Sandpiper	Winter Visitor
44 Tringa glorioles (Linnaeus, 1758)	Wood Sandpiper	Winter Visitor
45 Xenus cinereus (Guldenstadt, 1774)	Terek Sandpiper	Winter Visitor
46 Actitis hypoleucos (Linnaeus, 1758)	Common Sandpiper	Winter Visitor
47 Calidris minuta (Leisler, 1812)	Little Stint	Winter Visitor
FAMILY LARIDAE		
48 Larus ichthyaetus (Pallas, 1773)	Pallas's Gull	Winter Visitor
49 Sterna hirundo (Linnaeus, 1758)	Common Tern	Winter Visitor
50 Sterna aurantia (J.E. Gray, 1831)	River Tern	Resident
51 Sterna albifrons (Pallas, 1764)	Little Tern	Winter Visitor
52 Gelochelidon nilotica (Gmelin, 1789)	Gull-billed Tern	Winter Visitor
53 Chlidonias hybridus (Pallas, 1811)	Whiskered Tern	Winter Visitor

^aGrimmett et al. (2001)

6 orders and 14 families were seen among the five rural ponds (Table 1). Included were five Near Threatened (IUCN 2012) species, namely, Darter (Anhinga melanogaster), Painted Stork (Mycteria leucocephala), Oriental White Ibis (Threskiornis melanocephalus), Black-tailed Godwit (Limosa limosa) and Eurasian Curlew (Numenius arquata) (Fig. 2); Eurasian Spoonbill (Platalea leucorodia), additionally, is included in Schedule -I of the Indian Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972. The dominant families, Ardeidae and Scolopacidae, were represented by 9 and 10 species respectively. The most dominant order, Charadriiformes, was represented by a wide range of species including lapwings, plovers, sandpipers, gulls and terns; the next most represented order, Ciconiformes, included egrets, herons, storks, ibis and spoonbills (Fig. 3).

Out of these 53 species, 30 (56.6%) were residents and 23 (43.4%) were winter visitors. The significant number of winter migratory waterbirds can be attributed partly to the fact that the study area is located close to the major, western Indian migratory avian flyway (Khacher 1996). Prominent winter migratory species included Greater Flamingo (*Phoenicopterus ruber*), Gargany (*Anas querquedula*), Common Teal (*Anas clypeata*), Northern Shoveller (*Anas crecca*), Demoiselle Crane (*Grus virgo*), Black-tailed Godwit (*Limosa limosa*), Spotted Redshank (Tringa erythropus), Marsh Sandpiper (Tringa stagnatilis), Green Sandpiper (Tringa ochropus), Wood Sandpiper (Tringa glorioles), Eurasian Curlew (Numenaus arquata), Little Stint (Calidris minuta), Pallas's Gull (Larus *ichthyaetus*) and Little Tern (*Sterna albifrons*) (Table 1). One highlight of the study was the record of around 1,200 migratory Demoiselle Cranes (Grus virgo) at Laliana Pond during December 2011. Demoiselle Cranes, basically birds of dry grasslands, are the second most abundant crane species in this part of the world. India is the wintering ground for the Demoiselle Crane, which travels from northern parts of Asia, Magnolia and China covering over 2000 km in 5 to 7 days to inhabit wetlands and agricultural fields in India.

Some of the species like Little Grebe (*Tachybagptus ruficollis*), Little Cormorant (*Phalacrocorax niger*), Little Egret (*Egretta garzetta*), Cattle Egret (*Bubulcus ibis*), Indian Pond Heron (*Ardeola grayii*), Painted Stork (*Mycteria leucocephala*), Black Ibis (*Pseudibis papillosa*), Spot-billed Duck (*Anas poecilorhyncha*), Red-wattled Lapwing (*Vanellus indicus*), Blackwinged Stilt (*Himantopus himantopus*) and Common Coot (*Fulica atra*) were recorded throughout the study period in all the ponds. For some species, there were only one or two records, namely, Darter (*Anhinga melanogaster*),



Darter (Anhinga melanogaster)



Painted Stork (Mycteria leucocephala)



Oriental White Ibis (*Threskiornis melanocephalus*)



Black-tailed Godwit (Limosa limosa)



Eurasian Curlew (Numenius arquata)

FIGURE 2. Near threatened bird species recorded at the rural ponds studied; photos: A. Mohamed Samsoor Ali



FIGURE 3. Waterbird richness, by taxonomic order, among of the rural ponds studied.

Asian Openbill-Stork (*Anastomus oscitans*), Greater Flamingo (*Phoenicopterus ruber*), Little Ringed Plover (*Charadrius dubius*), Spotted Redshank (*Tringa erythropus*), Eurasian Curlew (*Numenaus arquata*), Little Stint (*Calidris minuta*) and Common Tern (*Sterna hirundo*).

The wetland dependent birds such as Small Blue Kingfisher (Alcedo atthis), White-breasted Kingfisher (Halcyon smyrnensis), Lesser Pied Kingfisher (Ceryle rudis), Common Swallow (Hirundo rustica), Red-rumped Swallow (Hirundo daurica), Large Pied Wagtail (Motacilla maderaspatensis) and Yellow Wagtail (Motacilla flava) were also seen around the ponds. The tree species of Acacia nilotica, Azadirachta indica, Ficus benghalensis, Prosopis juliflora, Phoenix sylvestris and Pongamia pinnata found at the banks of the ponds gave shelter and roosting sites to doves, koel, bulbuls, babblers and parakeets. Human habitations around the village ponds also supported large number of Indian Peafowl (Pavo cristatus), Blue Rock Pigeon (Columa livia) and House Sparrow (Passer domesticus), which often fed on grains scattered by local people.

A number of anthropogenic pressures affect the ponds and their avifauna. Water from the ponds is used for domestic purposes, as well as cattle crazing and bathing; predatory dogs are a disturbance as well. On the other hand, the occurrence of waterbirds indicates the healthy status of these rural ponds providing water, safe habitat and essential nesting/ roosting sites. The ponds also support fishes, amphibians, molluscs, aquatic insects and their larvae, all of which are a good food source for waterbirds. As water depth, quality and trophic structure are the important habitat characteristics that influence the abundance and diversity of waterbirds in ponds, proper maintenance of these ponds would further increase the waterbird populations.

The results of this study highlight the importance of rural ponds as a habitat for waterbirds including migratory species as well as species with conservation priorities. The results also point towards the need for conserving waterbird populations in rural wetlands and associated landscapes. However, further research should identify seasonal and interannual patterns of abundance as well as the physio-chemical parameters, food availability and other wetland characteristics that potentially affect these patterns. This would ultimately result in better understanding of the population dynamics and ecology of waterbirds of our rural landscapes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the Director, SACON, and to Genting Energy Ltd, for use of facilities and support.

REFERENCES

- ALI, S., AND S. D. RIPLEY. 1983. Handbook of the Birds of India and Pakistan (Compact Edition). University Press, Bombay, India.
- BIBBY, C. J., D. A. HILL, N. D. BURGESS, AND S. MUSTOE. 2000. Bird census techniques. Academic Press, London.
- CUSTER, T. W., AND R. G. OSBORNE. 1977. Wading birds as biological indicators: 1975 Colony Survey. US Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC.
- GIBBS, J. P. 1993. The importance of small wetlands for the persistence of local populations of wetlandassociated animals. Wetlands 13:25-31.

- GRIMMETT, R., AND T. INSKIPP. 2007. Birds of Southern India. Om Books International, New Delhi.
- GRIMMETT, R., C. INSKIPP, AND T. INSKIPP. 2001. Pocket Guide of the Birds of the Indian subcontinent. Oxford University Press. New Delhi.
- KAZMIERCZAK, K. 2000. A field Guide to the Birds of India, Sri Lanka, Pakistan, Nepal Bhutan, Bangladesh and the Maldives. Om Book Service, New Delhi.
- KHACHER, L. 1996. The birds of Gujarat a Salim Ali centenary overview. Journal of Bombay Natural History Society 93:331-373.
- KOSKIMIES, P. 1989. Birds as a tool in environmental monitoring. Annales Zoologici Fennici 26:153-166.
- LOUETTE, M., L. BIJNENS, A. D. UPOKI AGENONG, AND R. C. FOTSO. 1995. The utility of birds as bioindicators: case-studies in Equatorial Africa. Belgian Journal of Zoology 125:157-165.
- MANAKADAN, R., AND A. PITTIE. 2001. Standardized common and scientific names of the birds of the Indian continent. Buceros - ENVIS Newsletter 6:1-37.
- NAMGAIL, T., D. MUDDAPPA, AND T. R. S. RAMAN. 2009. Waterbird numbers at high altitude lakes in eastern Ladakh, India. Wildfowl 59:137-144.
- SURANA, R., B. R. SUBBA, AND K. P. LIMBU. 2007. Avian diversity during rehabilitation stage of Chimdi Lake, Sunsari, Nepal. Our Nature 5:75-80.

AVIFAUNA OF THE OUSSUDU LAKE AND ITS ENVIRONS, PUDUCHERRY, INDIA AND CONSERVATION CONCERNS¹

M. MURUGESAN, RACHNA CHANDRA, B. ANJAN KUMAR PRUSTY² AND P. R. ARUN

Environmental Impact Assessment Division, Sálim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History (SACON), Anaikatti (PO), Coimbatore – 641108, India

Abstract. Herein we present a checklist, including conservation status of the avifauna of Oussudu (Ousteri) Lake and its environs, Puducherry, India. Surveys were conducted at 15 d intervals between November 2010 and March 2011. A total of 166 bird species of 111 genera and 56 families were recorded in and around the lake. Of these, 75 species were aquatic; 120 species were resident and 46 species were migratory. The present investigation added 6 families, 12 genera and 27 species to the existing avifaunal list of Oussudu. The lake is undergoing serious pressures due to rapid urbanization, weed infestation, discharge of industrial effluents, developmental activities, industrialization, uncontrolled fishing, hunting and poaching. The present study advocates for an urgent and stringent management plan and necessary implementation mechanism for the lake.

Key words: Avifauna conservation, IBA, NWCP, Oussudu Lake, Puducherry, wetlands

AVIFAUNA DEL LAGO OUSSUDU Y SUS ALREDEDORES, PUDUCHERRY, INDIA, Y PROBLEMATICA DE CONSERVACION

Resumen. Presentamos una lista que incluye el estado de conservación de la avifauna del Lago Oussudu (Ousteri) y sus zonas aledañas, en Puducherry, India. Los muestreos se efectuaron en intervalos de 15 días entre noviembre de 2010 y marzo de 2011. Un total de 166 especies de aves de 111 géneros y 56 familias. De éstas, 75 especies fueron acuáticas, 120 especies fueron residentes, y 46 migratorias. El presente trabajo añadió 6 familias, 12 géneros y 27 especies a la lista existente de Oussudu. El lago está sometido a grandes presiones debidas a la urbanización, infestación vegetal, descarga de residuos industriales, actividades de desarrollo, industrialización, pesca incontrolada, caza y furtivismo. El presente estudio aboga por la implementación urgente de un estricto plan de manejo para el lago.

Palabras clave: conservación de avifauna, IBA, NWCP, Lago Oussudu, Puducherry, humedales

INTRODUCTION

In India, wetlands occupy an estimated 15.26 million hectares, which is \sim 4.6% of the geographical area of the country (SAC 2011). These wetlands harbor hundreds of bird species, including both resident and migratory species. Of the 1340 bird species reported from India (Ali and Ripley 1987; Manakadan and Pittie 2004), 310 species are dependent on wetlands (Kumar et al. 2005). Wetlands in India, as elsewhere, are under tremendous anthropogenic pressures, which greatly influence the structure of bird

¹Received 6 May 2013; accepted 21 September 2013

²E-mail address: anjaneia@gmail.com

communities (Kler 2002, Verma et al. 2004, Reginald et al. 2007). Water birds have long attracted the attention of the public and scientists because of their beauty, abundance, visibility and social behaviour, as well as for their recreational and economic importance. In addition, water birds have become indicators of wetland quality and parameters for assessing restoration success and regional biodiversity (Kumar and Gupta 2009). Wetlands in urban areas are usually exploited due to several anthropogenic activities. However, such activities often lead to alteration of the wetland to which, in turn, the avifauna responds by changes in species composition and density.

The Government of India has been implementing the National Wetlands Conservation Programme (NWCP) in close collaboration with the State/UT Governments since the year 1985-1986. The programme aims at the conservation of wetlands to prevent their further degradation and to ensure their judicious use for the benefit of local communities and overall conservation of biodiversity. Under this programme, the Ministry of Environment and Forests has identified 115 wetlands that require urgent conservation and management interventions.

Puducherry, well known for aquatic habitats, has a total of 82 major and minor wetlands in and around the town, and among them Oussudu and Bahour are the major ones. These wetlands provide livelihood for the residents around the region in the form of agricultural produce, fish, fuel, fiber, fodder, and host of other day-to-day necessities. Oussudu is the largest lake in the Puducherry region and is home to hundreds of bird species including several migratory ones that flock in large numbers. It is also one of the largest breeding sites for the Common Coot (Fulica atra) in South India (Chari and Abbasi 2003, Abbasi and Chari 2008). The lake is also known for a variety of fishes, mussels and crabs (Chari and Abbasi 2003). However, recently the lake and its surroundings are facing increased threats and pressures from several anthropogenic activities (encroachment, poaching and pollution) as well as from rapid urbanizations and infrastructure developments in the immediate vicinity of the lake. In that context, the present investigation was carried out in order to determine the bird community structure of the lake and discuss a potential management plan.

METHODS

STUDY AREA

Oussudu Lake, located at 11°56' to 11°58' N and 79°44'to 79°45'E, is a large shallow wetland situated along the eastern boundary of Puducherry, India (Figure 1). It is the most important fresh-water lake of the Puducherry region, and is 12 km from Puducherry town on the Western side on Puducherry-Villupuram-Valuthavur main road. The lake is rich in flora and fauna and is known to provide several ecological services, as well as several livelihood options for the local human community. It is an inter-state lake with a watershed area of 800 ha, and is almost equally shared between Puducherry (390 ha) and Tamil Nadu (410 ha; Alexander and Pusharaj 2010). Much of the Oussudu bank along the Tamil Nadu side consists of rural settlements, while the Pondicherry side is predominantly urban or suburban (Abbasi and Chari 2008), causing much stress on the lake. The lake is largely fed by direct precipitation, runoff from the catchment and an intermittent river, the Shankarabharani. Several tanks and ponds surround Oussudu (important ones listed in Table 1).

In the recent past, Oussudu Lake was identified as a wetland of national importance under the National Wetland Conservation Programme of the Ministry of Environment and Forest (MoEF 2009), India. The Bombay Natural History Society (BNHS), Mumbai, a member of Birdlife International, has designated Oussudu as an Important Bird Area (IBA) of India; over 20,000 birds belonging to nearly 40 migratory species used to inhabit or winter at Oussudu (Chari and Abbasi 2003). The Asian Wetland Bureau declared Oussudu Lake as one of the 115 significant wetlands in Asia. It also has been identified as a heritage sites by IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources), ranking it among the most important wetlands of Asia. During 2008, the Government of Puducherry declared Oussudu Lake as a bird sanctuary.

The climate in and around Oussudu Lake is



FIGURE 1. Location of Oussudu Lake, Puducherry.

TABLE 1. Major tanks around the Oussudu Lake, Puducherry.

Sl. No.	Name of the Tank/Eri	Capacity (Mm ³)	
1	Thondamanatham tank	0.34	
2	Ariyur tank	0.04	
3	Kadaperi eri	0.16	
4	Karasur tank	0.34	
5	Sedarapet Periya eri	0.42	
6	Sedarapet Sitheri	0.13	
7	Thuthipet tank	0.27	
8	Katteriputhu Thangel	0.12	
9	Kateripazham Thangal	0.17	

humid and tropical. Benefiting from both monsoons, the average annual rainfall of Oussudu and its surrounding region is 1300 mm, of which ~60% occurs during north-east monsoon from September-January (Chari and Abbasi 2003). The remainder of rainfall is scattered sporadically throughout the year. The mean monthly temperature ranges from 21.3°C to 30.2°C. The lake's water level fluctuates seasonally and in certain years (rainless months), the lake may dry out completely. Due to such wide hydrological fluctuations across the annual cycle, different niches are created in the lake, resulting in interesting patterns of flora and fauna (Abbasi 1997). There are patches of amphibious and aquatic vegetation in the northern portion of the lake, and these support spawning fish and roosting birds.

METHODOLOGY

The birdlife communities in and around the study area were documented by direct observations (Bibby et al. 1992), random walk and opportunistic surveys. Observations were made during October 2010 through March 2011 in seven intensive surveys. Surveys were conducted systematically every fortnight, walking on fixed routes through the study area. Birds were observed during 06:00 h - 10:00 h and 16:00 h – 19:00 h following the line-transect method (Burnham et al. 1980, Bibby et al. 1992). Observations were carried out on both sides of transect with the help of 7 x 35 and 10 x 50 m binoculars. In total, 15 such transects were laid in and around the study area. We recorded bird species along with habitat type, season and frequency of occurrence. In addition, opportunistic surveys were also carried out. Identification of birds was done using Ali and Ripley (1987) and Grimmett et al. (1998, 2001); nomenclature followed Manakadan and Pittie (2004). The status of birds (Table 1) was categorized as Resident (R), Migratory (M), Aquatic (A) and Terrestrial (T) as per Grimmett et al. (2001). Bird abundance was based on the following criteria: common, >10 sightings; fairly common, 6-10 sightings; uncommon, 3-5 sightings; and rare, 1-2 sightings.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In total, 166 bird species belonging to 111 genera and 56 families were recorded during the study period (Table 2). Accipitridae was the dominant family with 13 species, followed by Ardeidae and Scolopacidae with 11 species each, and Anatidae (10 species). Among the 166 bird species recorded, 75 were aquatic and the rest were terrestrial. About 120 species were resident breeding and the rest were migratory. The present study added 6 families, 12 genera and 27 species to the existing avifaunal list of Oussudu (Table 2). Of the 166 species recorded, line transects resulted in documentation of 135 avian species (Table 2), of which, Little Egret (Egretta garzetta) was dominant with 355 individuals, followed by Common Myna (Acridotheres tristis) with 338 individuals, Asian Palm-Swift (Cypsiurus balasiensis) with 337 individuals, White-headed Babbler (Turdoides affinis) with 282 individuals and Indian Pond Heron (Ardeola grayii) with 245 individuals.

Eight species falling under the Near Threatened category, and one each of Critically Endangered species and Endangered species (IUCN 2007), were recorded during the study period (Table 2). Of the 166 species recorded, 15 species fall under Schedule-I as per Indian Wildlife Protection Act (IWPA 1972). Though Painted Stork (*Mycteria leucocephala*), Asian Open bill-Stork (*Anastomus oscitans*), Northern Shoveller (*Anas clypeata*), Northern Pintail (*Anas acuta*), Mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*), Common Teal (*Anas crecca*), Garganey (*Anas querquedula*), and Common Pochard (*Aythya ferina*) were very commonly recorded by Chari et al. (2008), we rarely sighted in our study.

CONSERVATION CONCERNS AND MEASURES

Oussudu plays a vital role in recharging the ground water aquifers for Puducherry and protecting them from seawater ingress; Puducherry is largely dependent on these aquifers for its drinking water supply (Chari and Abbasi 2007). In recent times, however, the lake and its watershed has been exposed to enormous pressures due to increasing population, industrialization and urbanization. The serious threats include reclamation, agriculture, siltation, weed invasion, poaching, etc. Weed species such as Eichorrnia crassipes, Salvinia molesta, Pistia stratiotes and Ipomoea carnea have been invading the wetland area of Oussudu. Almost 14% of the lake is infested by Eichornia *crassipes* (Water hyacinth). Thus, infestation by weeds is a serious issue that could directly affect the biodiversity of the lake and water quality. Apart from aquatic weeds, Prosopis juliflora is also present along the roadside. Removal of this species is of utmost importance as it invades other wetlands at much higher rates (Chandra et al. 2009).

Fishing in the area has become a routine practice, which if unchecked could soon result in cultural (rapid) eutrophication, siltation, and ultimate death of the lake. The diversity of fish species in the lake attracts people for fishing. While the Government of Puducherry has banned fishing in Oussudu Lake, uncontrolled fishing was often seen in the lake during the surveys. Unbridled fishing activity using fishing nets has led to the killing of water snakes and

IABL	IABLE 2. List of bird species observed	observed in and around Uussudu Lake	0					
SI. No.	Family	Common name	Scientific name	Habitat	Status	IUCN status	IWPA Status	Abundance
1	Podicipedidae	Little Grebe	Tachybaptus ruficollis	А	R	ГC	S-IV	C
5.	Pelecanidae	*Great White Pelican	Pelecanus onocrotalus	A	Μ	ГC	S-IV	Re
ю.		Spot-billed Pelican	Pelecanus philippensis	A	R	ΓL	S-IV	UC
4.	Phalacrocoracidae	Little Cormorant	Phalacrocorax niger	А	R	LC	S-IV	U
5.		Indian Shag	Phalacrocorax fuscicollis	A	R	LC	S-IV	U
6.		Great Cormorant	Phalacrocorax carbo	A	R	LC	S-IV	U
7.	Anhingidae	Darter	Anhinga melanogaster	A	R	ΓL	S-IV	UC
%	Ardeidae	Little Egret	Egretta garzetta	A	R	LC	S-IV	U
9.		Grey Heron	Ardea cinerea	A	R	LC	S-IV	UC
10.		Purple Heron	Ardea purpurea	А	R	LC	S-IV	UC
11.		Large Egret	Casmerodius albus	A	R	LC	S-IV	U
12.		Median Egret	Mesophoyx intermedia	A	R	LC	S-IV	U
13.		Cattle Egret	Bubulcus ibis	A	R	LC	S-IV	C
14.		Indian Pond Heron	Ardeola grayii	A	R	LC	S-IV	C
15.		Little Green Heron	Butorides striatus	A	R	LC	S-IV	U
16.		Black-crowned Night Heron	Nycticorax nycticorax	A	R	LC	S-IV	UC
17.		Black Bittern	Dupetor flavicollis	А	R	LC	S-IV	UC
18.		Great Bittern	Botaurus stellaris	A	Μ	LC	S-IV	UC
19.	Ciconiidae	Painted Stork	Mycteria leucocephala	A	R	ΓZ	S-IV	UC
20.		Asian Openbill-Stork	Anastomus oscitans	A	R	LC	S-IV	FC
21.		White-necked Stork	Ciconia episcopus	A	R	LC	S-IV	Re
22.	Threskiornithidae	Oriental White Ibis	Threskiornis melanocephalus	A	R	ΓZ	S-IV	Re
23.		Black Ibis	Pseudibis papillosa	A	R	LC	S-IV	Re
24.		Eurasian Spoonbill	Platalea leucorodia	A	R	LZ	S-I	Re
25.	Phoenicopteridae	*Greater Flamingo	Phoenicopterus ruber	A	М	LC	S-IV	Re
26.		*Lesser Flamingo	Phoenicopterus minor	A	Μ	ΓN	S-IV	Re
27.	Anatidae	Cotton Teal	Nettapus coromandelianus	A	R	LC	S-IV	U
28.		Eurasian Wigeon	Anas penelope	A	М	LC	S-IV	U
29.		Mallard	Anas platyrhynchos	A	Μ	LC	S-IV	UC
30.		Spot-billed Duck	Anas poecilorhyncha	A	R	LC	S-IV	UC
31.		Northern Shoveller	Anas clypeata	A	Μ	ГC	S-IV	UC
32.		Northern Pintail	Anas acuta	A	Μ	ГC	S-IV	UC
33.		Garganey	Anas querquedula	A .	Z ;	rc ,	S-IV	U (
34.		Common Teal	Anas crecca	А	Μ	ГC	S-IV	5

TABL	TABLE 2. Continued							
SI. No.	Family	Common name	Scientific name	Habitat	Status	IUCN status	IWPA Status	Abundance
35.		Unidentified Duck	Anas sp.	А	Μ	LC	ī	FC
36.		Common Pochard	Aythya ferina	A	Μ	LC	S-IV	Re
37.	Accipitridae	Black-shouldered Kite	Elanus caeruleus	Т	R	LC	S-I	UC
38.		Black Kite	Milvus migrans	Т	R	LC	S-I	C
39.		Brahminy Kite	Haliastur indus	Τ	R	ГC	S-I	C
40.		*White-bellied Sea Eagle	Haliaeetus leucogaster	A	R	LC	S-I	Re
41.		*Crested Serpent Eagle	Spilornis cheela	Т	R	LC	S-I	Re
42.		Western Marsh Harrier	Circus aeruginosus	A	Μ	LC	S-I	C
43.		Pallid Harrier	Circus macrourus	Т	М	LΝ	S-I	UC
44.		Pied Harrier	Circus melanoleucos	Т	Μ	LC	S-I	UC
45.		Shikra	Accipiter badius	Т	М	LC	S-I	UC
46.		Besra Sparrowhawk	Accipiter virgatus	Т	М	LC	S-I	UC
47.		Black Eagle	Ictinaetus malayensis	Т	R	LC	S-I	UC
48.	Pandionidae	*Osprey	Pandion haliaetus	Т	Μ	LC	S-I	Re
49.	Falconidae	Common Kestrel	Falco tinnunculus	Т	R	LC	S-I	Re
50.	Phasianidae	Grey Francolin	Francolinus pondicerianus	Т	R	LC	S-IV	C
51.		Indian Peafowl	Pavo cristatus	Т	R	LC	S-I	Re
52.	Rallidae	White-breasted Waterhen	Amaurornis phoenicurus	А	R	LC	S-IV	UC
53.		Purple Moorhen	Porphyrio porphyrio	A	R	LC	S-IV	C
54.		Common Moorhen	Gallinula chloropus	А	R	LC	S-IV	C
55.		Common Coot	Fulica atra	А	R	LC	S-IV	C
56.	Jacanidae	Pheasant-tailed Jacana	Hydrophasianus chirurgus	A	R	LC	S-IV	C
57.		*Bronze-winged Jacana	Metopidius indicus	А	R	LC	S-IV	Re
58.	Charadriidae	Grey Plover	Pluvialis squatarola	A	М	LC	S-IV	Re
59.		Little Ringed Plover	Charadrius dubius	A	М	LC	S-IV	Re
60.		Yellow-wattled Lapwing	Vanellus malabaricus	А	R	LC	S-IV	С
61.		*Grey-headed Lapwing	Vanellus cinereus	A	Μ	ГC	S-IV	Re
62.		Red-wattled Lapwing	Vanellus indicus	A	R	ГC	S-IV	C
63.	Scolopacidae	Common Snipe	Gallinago gallinago	А	Μ	LC	S-IV	C
64.		Common Redshank	Tringa totanus	А	М	LC	S-IV	Re
65.		Green Sandpiper	Tringa ochropus	A	М	ГC	S-IV	С
66.		Wood Sandpiper	Tringa glareola	A	M	ГC	S-IV	C
67.		Common Sandpiper	Actitis hypoleucos	А	М	ГC	S-IV	C
68.		#Spoonbill Sandpiper	Calidris pygmeus	A <	Z Z	Ë	S-IV	Re
69.		Little Stint	Calidris minuta	А	M	FC	S-1V	Ĵ

TABL	TABLE 2. Continued							
SI. No.	Family	Common name	Scientific name	Habitat	Status	IUCN status	IWPA Status	Abundance
70.		*Dunlin	Calidris alpina	А	М	ГC	S-IV	Re
71.		Curlew Sandpiper	Calidris ferruginea	A	М	LC	S-IV	UC
72.		Broad-billed Sandpiper	Limicola falcinellus	А	М	ГC	S-IV	UC
73.		*Ruff	Philomachus pugnax	А	Μ	ГC	S-IV	Re
74.	Recurvirostridae	Black-winged Stilt	Himantopus himantopus	A	R	ГC	S-IV	FC
75.	Laridae	River Tern	Sterna aurantia	А	R	NT	S-IV	U
76.		Black-naped Tern	Sterna sumatrana	A	Μ	ГC	S-IV	U
77.		Common Tern	Sterna hirundo	A	М	ГC	S-IV	U
78.		Black-bellied Tern	Sterna acuticauda	А	Μ	EN	S-IV	U
79.		Whiskered Tern	Chlidonias hybridus	А	Μ	ГC	S-IV	UC
80.		Black Tern	Chlidonias niger	А	Μ	ГC	S-IV	U
81.	Columbidae	Blue Rock Pigeon	Columba livia	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	U
82.		Little Brown Dove	Streptopelia senegalensis	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	C
83.		Spotted Dove	Streptopelia chinensis	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	U
84.		Red Collared-Dove	Streptopelia tranquebarica	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	U
85.	Psittacidae	Rose-ringed Parakeet	Psittacula krameri	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	U
86.	Cuculidae	Pied-crested Cuckoo	Clamator jacobinus	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	C
87.		*Red-winged Crested Cuckoo	Clamator coromandus	Т	Μ	ГC	S-IV	Re
88.		Brainfever Bird	Hierococcyx varius	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	C
89.		Drongo Cuckoo	Surniculus lugubris	Τ	R	ГC	S-IV	UC
90.		Asian Koel	Eudynamys scolopacea	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	U
91.		Small Green-billed Malkoha	Phaenicophaeus viridirostris	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	C
92.		Greater Coucal	Centropus sinensis	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	U
93.		Lesser Coucal	Centropus bengalensis	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	Re
94.	Tytonidae	Barn Owl	Tyto alba	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	Re
95.	Strigidae	Spotted Owlet	Athene brama	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	U
96.	Caprimulgidae	Common Indian Nightjar	Caprimulgus asiaticus	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	UC
97.	Apodidae	Asian Palm-Swift	Cypsiurus balasiensis	Τ	R	ГC	,	C
98.	1	House Swift	Apus affinis	Τ	R	ГC	,	C
99.	Hemiprocnidae	*Crested Tree-swift	Hemiprocne coronata	Т	R	ГC	ı	Re
100.	Alcedinidae	Small Blue Kingfisher	Alcedo atthis	A	R	ГC	S-IV	U
101.		*Stork-billed Kingfisher	Halcyon capensis	A	R	ГC	S-IV	Re
102.		White-breasted Kingfisher	Halcyon smyrnensis	A	R	ГC	S-IV	C
103.		*Black-capped Kingfisher	Halcyon pileata	А	R	ГC		Re

TABL	TABLE 2. Continued							
SI. No.	Family	Common name	Scientific name	Habitat	Status	IUCN status	IWPA Status	Abundance
104.		Lesser Pied Kingfisher	Ceryle rudis	А	R	LC	S-IV	UC
105.	Meropidae	Small Bee-eater	Merops orientalis	Т	R	LC		C
106.		Blue-tailed Bee-eater	Merops philippinus	Т	R	LC	ı	C
107.		Chestnut-headed Bee-eater	Merops leschenaulti	Τ	R	ГC	,	C
108.	Coraciidae	Indian Roller	Coracias benghalensis	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	C
109.	Upupidae	Common Hoopoe	Upupa epops	Т	R	LC	S-IV	C
110.	Capitonidae	White-cheeked Barbet	Megalaima viridis	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	UC
111.		Coppersmith Barbet	Megalaima haemacephala	Т	R	LC	S-IV	C
112.	Picidae	Common Golden-backed Woodpecker	Dinopium javanense	Т	R	LC	S-IV	FC
113.		*Lesser Golden-backed Woodpecker	Dinopium benghalense	Т	R	LC	S-IV	FC
114.	Pittidae	Indian Pitta	Pitta brachyura	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	Re
115.	Alaudidae	*Bengal Bush-Lark	Mirafra assamica	Т	R	LC	S-IV	Re
116.		Ashy-crowned Sparrow-Lark	Eremopterix grisea	Т	R	LC	S-IV	Re
117.	Hirundinidae	*Common Swallow	Hirundo rustica	Т	Μ	ГC	ı	C
118.		House Swallow	Hirundo tahitica	Т	R	LC	ı	C
119.		Red-rumped Swallow	Hirundo daurica	Т	R	LC	ı	C
120.	Motacillidae	Large Pied Wagtail	Motacilla maderaspatensis	A	Я	ГC	S-IV	C
121.		Yellow Wagtail	Motacilla flava	A	Μ	LC	S-IV	C
122.		Grey Wagtail	Motacilla cinerea	A	Μ	LC	S-IV	C
123.		Paddyfield Pipit	Anthus rufulus	Т	R	LC	S-IV	C
124.	Campephagidae	Common Woodshrike	Tephrodornis pondicerianus	Т	Я	ГC	S-IV	Re
125.	Pycnonotidae	Red-vented Bulbul	Pycnonotus cafer	Т	R	LC	S-IV	C
126.		White-browed Bulbul	Pycnonotus luteolus	Т	R	LC	S-IV	C
127.	Irenidae	*Common Iora	Aegithina tiphia	Т	R	LC	S-IV	C
128.	Laniidae	*Bay-backed Shrike	Lanius vittatus	Т	R	LC	ı	FC
129.		*Southern Grey Shrike	Lanius meridionalis	Т	R	ГC		Re
130.	Turdinae	Oriental Magpie-Robin	Copsychus saularis	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	FC
131.		Indian Robin	Saxicoloides fulicata	Т	R	LC	S-IV	C
132.		Pied Buschat	Saxicola caprata	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	C
133.	Timaliinae	*Rufous-bellied Babbler	Dumetia hyperythra	Т	Я	ГC	S-IV	UC
134.		*Jungle Babbler	Turdoides striatus	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	UC
135.		White-headed Babbler	Turdoides affinis	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	C
136.	Sylviinae	*Franklin's Prinia	Prinia hodgsonii	Γ	M	ΓC	S-IV	U
137.		Jungle Prinia	Prinia sylvatica	Г	R	ГC	S-IV	C

SI. No.	Family	Common name	Scientific name	Habitat	Status	IUCN status	IWPA Status	Abundance
138.		Ashy Prinia	Prinia socialis	Τ	R	LC	S-IV	С
139.		Plain Prinia	Prinia inornata	Τ	R	LC	S-IV	U
140.		Blyth's Reed Warbler	Acrocephalus dumetorum	Т	Μ	ГC	S-IV	UC
141.		Common Tailorbird	Orthotomus sutorius	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	υ
142.		Greenish Leaf-Warbler	Phylloscopus trochiloides	Т	Μ	ГC	S-IV	UC
143.	Monarchinae	Asian Paradise-Flycatcher	Terpsiphone paradisi	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	UC
144.	Rhipidurinae	*White-browed Fantail Flycatcher	Rhipidura aureola	Т	R	LC	S-IV	Re
145.	Dicaeidae	Thick-billed Flowerpecker	Dicaeum agile	Т	R	LC	S-IV	U
146.		Tickell's Flowerpecker	Dicaeum erythrorhynchos	Т	R	LC	S-IV	UC
147.	Nectariniidae	Purple-rumped Sunbird	Nectarinia zeylonica	Т	R	LC	S-IV	υ
148.		Purple Sunbird	Nectarinia asiatica	Т	R	LC	S-IV	U
149.		Loten's Sunbird	Nectarinia lotenia	Т	R	LC	S-IV	U
150.	Estrildidae	* White-throated Munia	Lonchura malabarica	Т	R	LC	S-IV	Re
151.		Spotted Munia	Lonchura punctulata	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	U
152.		Black-headed Munia	Lonchura malacca	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	U
153.	Passerinae	House Sparrow	Passer domesticus	Τ	R	LC	S-IV	Re
154.	Ploceinae	Baya Weaver	Ploceus philippinus	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	U
155.	Sturnidae	Brahminy Starling	Sturnus pagodarum	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	UC
156.		*Rosy Starling	Sturnus roseus	Т	Μ	ГC	S-IV	Re
157.		Common Myna	Acridotheres tristis	Τ	R	ГC	S-IV	U
158.	Oriolidae	Eurasian Golden Oriole	Oriolus oriolus	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	U
159.		*Black-headed Oriole	Oriolus xanthornus	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	Re
160.	Dicruridae	Black Drongo	Dicrurus macrocercus	Τ	R	ГC	S-IV	U
161.		Ashy Drongo	Dicrurus leucophaeus	Т	Μ	ГC	S-IV	U
162.		White-bellied Drongo	Dicrurus caerulescens	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	U
163.	Artamidae	Ashy Woodswallow	Artamus fuscus	Т	R	ГC		U
164.	Corvidae	Indian Treepie	Dendrocitta vagabunda	Т	R	ГC	S-IV	U
165.		House Crow	Corvus splendens	Τ	R	LC	S-IV	U
166.		Jungle Crow	Corvus macrorhynchos	Г	R	ГC	S-IV	U

several aquatic birds (pelicans, coots, darters). Fishing also causes direct disturbance to birds due to reduced availability of fish species, some of which the birds consume as food. Aquatic plants such as *Hydrilla verticillata*, *Najas minor*, etc. are food for many birds of Oussudu. During fishing, these aquatic macrophytes entangle in the fishing net, and thus are removed causing disturbance and imbalance in the biodiversity composition of the lake.

Hunting of birds in and around the Oussudu sanctuary is another important issue that needs attention. During the present study, we found that several families of the Narikurava community (a tribe) were engaged in hunting of birds, which had become their chief source of livelihood. There is an encroachment by a hamlet of around 15 families of Narikurava on Villianur-Pathukanu junction road. This settlement hunts several species of resident and migratory aquatic birds. The birds such as Asian Koel (Endynomys scolopacea; state bird of Puducherry), Great Bittern (Botaurus stellaris), Common Moorhen (Gallinula chloropus), Whitebreasted Water-hen (Amaurornis phoenicurus), Common Myna, Common Coot (Fulica atra), egrets, and cormorants were commonly hunted and sold for Rs. 150/- to Rs. 200/- per kg. In order to stop such hunting, alternative and appropriate sources of livelihood and employment need to be found for this community.

The Suthukeni Canal is essentially the only means of water movement / discharge of sewage and storm water to the lake. It is currently a channel, into which considerable quantity of municipal and non-point source effluents flow in. Rubber and glass industries, and the agricultural lands located around Oussudu Lake release runoff water with various chemicals into the lake. Several tanning and leather industries occur along the shores, with disposal of effluent from these industries likely affecting the lake's ecology. In addition, the agricultural fields around the lake contribute significant amounts of N, P, and K fertilizers and pesticides through run-off. Such runoff can stimulate the growth of aquatic macrophytes and plankton, resulting in eutrophication. Heavy metals and several pesticides, as an outcome of application of agrochemicals in nearby agriculture fields, may bio-accumulate

(macrophytes to fishes, etc.) in the wetland ecosystem and ultimately affect the apex of the food chain, i.e. birds.

It is apt that steps should be taken to bring adjoining parts of the lake under protection. Mechanisms to manage the area jointly by both governments and people of Tamil Nadu and Puducherry need to be developed. In view of the present scenario with threats to its existence and functioning, Oussudu sanctuary needs active conservation and management interventions. It is also expected that Oussudu would loose its ecological integrity, if proper coordinated efforts are not taken by management authorities and non-government organizations including the general public to save this fragile wetland ecosystem. This may include activities such as protection, prevention of encroachment and control of polluting activities, eco-restoration and initiating habitat improvement programs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. P.A. Azeez, Director, Sálim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History (SACON) for encouragement and facilities; Dr A. Anilkumar, Conservator of Forests, Puducherry Forest Division, Puducherry, for logistics and constant support to carry out the study. We greatly acknowledge the help from Mr P. Subramanian and Dr S. Bhupathy during the study period.

REFERENCES

- ABBASI, S. A. 1997. Wetlands of India-Ecology and Threats: The Ecology and the Exploitation of Typical South Indian Wetlands. Vol. I. Discovery Publishing House, New Delhi. 149 pp.
- ABBASI, S. A., AND K. B. CHARI. 2008. Environmental management of urban lakes: with special reference to Oussudu. Discovery Publishing House, New Delhi. 269 pp.
- ALEXANDER, R., AND P. PUSHARAJ. 2010. Resettlement of weaver birds (*Ploceus philippinus*) in Oussudu Lake. Current Science 99: 10.
- ALI, S., AND S. D. RIPLEY. 1987. Compact handbook of the birds of India and Pakistan together with those of Bangladesh, Nepal, Bhutan and Sri Lanka. Oxford University Press, Delhi.
- BIBBY, C. J., N. D. BURGESS., AND D. A. HILL. 1992. Bird Census Techniques. Academic Press Publishers. 257 pp.

- BURNHAM, K. P., D. R. ANDERSON., AND J. L. LAAKE. 1980. Estimation of density from line transect sampling of biological populations. Wildlife Monographs 72. 1-202 pp.
- CHANDRA R., B. A. K. PRUSTY., AND P. A. AZEEZ. 2009.
 Impact of *Prosopis juliflora* on Herbaceous Diversity in Keoladeo National Park, Bharatpur, p. 09-13. *In* G. A. Thivakaran, A. Kumar, B. A. K. Prusty, and S. F. W. Sunderraj [eds.], Proceedings of the National Symposium on Prosopis: Ecological, Economic Significance and Management Challenges, Gujarat Institute of Desert Ecology, Bhuj, India. 115 pp.
- CHARI, K. B., AND S. A. ABBASI. 2003. Ecology, habitat and bird community structure at Oussudu lake: towards a strategy for conservation and management. Aquatic Conservation: Marine Freshwater Ecosystem 13: 373-86.
- CHARI, K. B., AND S. A. ABBASI. 2007. Socio-economic implications of the Oussudu lake (Pondicherry, India). Hydrology Journal 30: 77-90.
- CHARI, K. B., S. A. ABBASI., AND S. GANAPATHY. 2008. Ecology, habitat and bird community structures at Oussudu lake: Towards a strategy for conservation and management, p. 100-117. In S. A. Abbasi, and K. B. Chari [ed.], Environmental Management of Urban Lakes with Special Reference to Oussudu. Discovery Publishing House Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- GRIMMETT, R., C. INSKIPP., AND T. INSKIPP. 1998. Birds of the Indian Subcontinent. Christoper Helm Publishers Ltd. London. 888 pp.
- GRIMMETT, R., C. INSKIPP, AND T. INSKIPP. 2001. Pocket guide to the birds of the Indian subcontinent. Oxford University Press, Delhi. 384 pp.

- IUCN. 2007. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2007.1. Electronic Database accessible at http://www.iucnredlist.org/. Captured on 20 February 2007.
- IWPA. 1972. Wildlife Protection Act. In: The Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972. Professional Book Publishers, Wildlife Protection Society of India.
- KLER, T. K. 2002. Bird species in Kanjali wetland. Tiger Paper 39: 29-32.
- KUMAR, A., J. P. SATI., P. C. TAK., AND J. R. B. ALFRED. 2005. Handbook on Indian wetland birds and their conservation. Zoological Survey of India. 472 pp.
- KUMAR, P., AND S. K. GUPTA. 2009. Diversity and abundance of Wetland birds around Kurukshetra, India. Our Nature 7: 212-217.
- MANAKADAN, R., AND A. PITTIE. 2004. Standardized common and scientific names of the birds of the Indian subcontinent. Buceros 6: 1-37.
- MOEF. 2009. National wetland conservation programme guidelines for conservation and management of wetlands in India. Minsitry of Environment & Forest, Govt. Of India, New Delhi. 45 pp.
- REGINALD, L. J., C. MAHENDRAN., S. S. KUMAR., AND P. PRAMOD. 2007. Birds of Singanallur Lake, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu. Zoos' Print Journal 22 (12): 2944-2948.
- SAC (2011). National Wetland Atlas. Space Applications Centre, Indian Space Research Organization, Ahmedabad, India. 310 pp.
- VERMA, A., S. BALACHANDRAN., N. CHATURVEDI., AND V. PATIL. 2004. A preliminary survey on the biodiversity of Mahul Creek, Mumbai, India. Zoos' Print Journal 19: 1599-1605.

Bird Populations is an entirely electronic annual journal of dynamic global avian demography and biogeography that publishes original research and review papers dealing with changes in the numbers, demographics, distributions, and ecological relationships of birds. Papers providing documentation of quantitative changes in bird populations or distributions are preferred, but papers providing baseline population or distribution information are also acceptable. Papers describing or evaluating field techniques or analytical methods for assessing population and distribution changes are also welcome. Contributions are encouraged from throughout the world from both well-known and little-studied avifaunas. *Bird Populations* is published in English with abstracts in Spanish. *Bird Populations* can be accessed free-of-charge at www.birdpop.org.

Authors should submit one complete, double-spaced, electronic copy of each manuscript, in English, to: David G. Ainley, Editor, *Bird Populations*, dainley@penguinscience.com. Please contact David G. Ainley at H.T. Harvey and Associates, 983 University Avenue, Bldg D, Los Gatos, CA 95032, if you are unable to submit an electronic copy. Guidelines for preparing and submitting papers to *Bird Populations*, including the format for literature citations, are similar to those of *The Condor* and *Studies in Avian Biology*. Authors are urged to examine a recent volume of *Bird Populations* and follow the niceties of the journal's style. All research papers and review articles submitted to *Bird Populations* are subject to peer review.

Bird Populations also publishes, reprints, and provides links to reports of major avian monitoring programs from around the world. These reports are an important focus of the journal which is intended to serve as a yearbook on the status of the Earth's birdlife by bringing together, under a single cover, information from many widespread localities on the annual and interim changes in the abundance, demographics, and distribution of birds. We believe that publishing, reprinting, and providing links to these reports will draw attention in a timely manner to short-term avian population fluctuations that may ultimately prove to be geographically widespread or that may signal the beginnings of longer-term trends. We hope that the dissemination of these reports will provide ornithologists with a global informational network for addressing avian population changes, will encourage an integrative global approach to avian monitoring studies, will stimulate the establishment of additional avian monitoring programs, and ultimately will aid in the conservation of global avian diversity.

Any agency or organization from anywhere in the world coordinating or conducting a long-term, standardized avian monitoring program is invited to submit an annual (or longer time period) report of that program to *Bird Populations* for publication or reprinting. All reports submitted for original publication will undergo peer review. Please submit one complete, double-spaced, electronic copy of any such report, in English, to David G. Ainley, Editor, *Bird Populations*, dainley@penguinscience.com. Already published reports submitted for reprinting will not be peer reviewed, but will be screened by the Editor when first submitted with regard to the scope and scientific merit of the monitoring program and the appropriateness of the methods and analyses. Please submit electronic copies of such reports, in English, to the Editor. *Bird Populations* also invites the authors of previously published annual or interim reports of standardized avian monitoring programs to request that we provide an electronic link to their reports. Reports to which *Bird Populations* will provide a link do not need to be in English, but we ask that the authors provide an English translation of the abstract or summary. Reports to which we provide links will not be peer reviewed, but will be screened by the Editor when first submitted with regard to the scope and scientific merit of the monitoring program and the appropriateness of the methods and analyses.

A journal of global avian demography and biogeography

Volume 12

2013

CONTENTS

FIRST ACCOUNT OF A NESTING POPULATION OF MONK PARAKEETS, <i>MYIOPSITTA</i> <i>MONACHUS</i> , WITH NODULE-SHAPED BILL LESIONS IN KATEHAKI, ATHENS, GREECE <i>Nicholas P. Kalodimos</i>	1
HABITAT LOSS OF CRITICALLY ENDANGERED VULTURES IN NAMERI NATIONAL PARK-ASSAM Niranjan Das	7
WATERBIRD ASSEMBLAGE IN RURAL VILLAGE PONDS OF SAMAKHIALI REGION, KUTCH DISTRICT, GUJARAT, INDIA A. Mohamed Samsoor Ali, S. Ramesh Kumar and P. R. Arun	12
AVIFAUNA OF THE OUSSUDU LAKE AND ITS ENVIRONS, PUDUCHERRY, INDIA AND CONSERVATION CONCERNS M. Murugesan, Rachna Chandra, B. Anjan Kumar Prusty and P. R. Arun	19
 Link – Bird Trends 2012: trends in numbers, breeding success and survival for UK breeding birds. (2013) Research Report 644. BTO, Thetford. Stephen R. Baillie, John H. Marchant, David I. Leech, Dario Massimino, Sarah M. Eglington, Alison Johnston, David G. Noble, Carl Barimore, Allison J. Kew, Iain S. Downie, Kate Risely, and Rob A. Robinson n 	ı/a
 Link – The (British) Breeding Bird Survey – 2011. The population trends of the UK's breeding birds. (2012) BTO Research Report 624. British Trust for Ornithology, Thetford. Kate Risely, Dario Massimino, Alison Johnston, Stuart E. Newson, Mark A. Eaton, Andy J. Musgrove, David G. Noble, Deborah Procter, and Stephen R. Baillien 	ı/a
 Link – The (British) Breeding Bird Survey – 2012. The population trends of the UK's breeding birds. (2013) BTO Research Report 645. British Trust for Ornithology, Thetford. Kate Risely, Dario Massimino, Stuart E. Newson, Mark A. Eaton, Andy J. Musgrove, David G. Noble, Deborah Procter, and Stephen R. Baillien 	ı/a
<i>Link</i> – All of the (British) Breeding Bird Survey reports can be downloaded from here n	/a
Link – CES (Constant Effort Sites) News – 2011. Number 25 (2012). Greg Conway, Dave Leech and Allison Kew, Editors	/a
Link – CES (Constant Effort Sites) News – 2012. Number 26 (2013). Dave Leech and Allison Kew, Editors	ı/a
<i>Link</i> – All issues of the CES (Constant Effort Sites) News can be downloaded from here n	/a
Link – 2011 NRS (Nest Records Scheme) preliminary report. (2012). British Trust for Ornithology, Thetford	ı/a
Link – 2012 preliminary NRS (Nest Records Scheme) results. (2013) . British Trust for Ornithology, Thetford	ı/a
Link – Waterbirds in the UK 2010/11: The Wetland Bird Survey (2012) BTO/RSPB/JNCC Thetford. Chas A. Holt, Graham E. Austin, Neil A. Calbrade, Heidi J. Mellan, Richard D. Hearn, David A. Stroud, Simon R. Wotton, and Andy J. Musgroven	ı/a
Link – All of the annual reports of the WeBS (Wetland Bird Survey) can be downloaded from here n	/a
Link – GBFS NEWS 2013. The newsletter for participants in the BTO Garden Bird Feeding Survey. Number 5 (July 2013) British Trust for Ornithology, Thetford	/a
<i>Link</i> – All issues of the GBFS (Garden Bird Feeding Survey) News can be downloaded from here n	/a